arXiv:hep-ph/9308240v1 6 Aug 1993

MSUHEP-93/10
July 1993

Top Quark Physics at Hadron Colliders[⋆]

C.–P. Yuan

Department of Physics and Astronomy
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824

ABSTRACT

I briefly report on what we can learn about the top quark at hadron colliders.

[⋆] Talk presented at the Workshop on "Particle Physics at the Fermi Scale", Beijing, China, May 27 – June 4, 1993.

The top quark has been found to be heavier than 45 GeV from SLAC and LEP experiments and 108 (103) GeV from CDF (D0) data. The first limit is model independent while the second limit is for the Standard Model (SM) top quark. Upper bounds on m_t can be obtained from examining the radiative corrections to low energy observables, such as the ρ parameter which is proportional to m_t^2 at the one loop level and thus sensitive to m_t. The consistency of all the low energy experimental data requires m_t to be less than about 200 GeV.

Since the top quark is heavy, of the same order of magnitude as the W–boson mass, any physical observable related to the top quark may be sensitive to new physics. The top quarks will therefore allow many new tests of the SM and new probes of physics at the 100 GeV scale [1]. The most important consequence of a heavy top quark is that to a good approximation it decays as a free quark since its lifetime is short and it does not have time to bind with light quarks before it decays [2]. Thus we can use the polarization properties of the top quark as additional observables to test the SM and to probe new physics. Furthermore, because the heavy top quark has the weak two–body decay $t \to bW^+$, it will analyze its own polarization.

In this brief report, I will discuss what we can learn about the top quark at hadron colliders. First, I will discuss the production mechanism for top quarks, then I will discuss the decay modes and branching ratios for top quarks. I will also report on how well the mass and width of the top quark can be measured, and how to detect CP violation effects from studying top quarks.

At the Tevatron, the dominant production mechanisms for a SM top quark are the QCD processes $q\bar{q},\ gg \to t\bar{t}$. For a heavy top quark, $m_t > 100$ GeV, the $q\bar{q}$ fusion process becomes most important. The full next-to-leading-order calculation for these QCD processes was completed a couple of years ago [3]. Therefore, the production rates for top quark pairs at hadron colliders are well predicted. If the top quark is as heavy as 140 GeV, then another production mechanism known as the W–gluon fusion process becomes important [4,5]. The production mechanism of the latter process involves the electroweak interaction, therefore it can probe the electroweak sector of the theory in contrast to the usual QCD production mechanism which only probes the QCD interaction when counting the top quark event rates.

For heavy top quark production, the dominant subprocess is $W_L b \to t$, where W_L is a longitudinally polarized W–boson. Based on the argument of the electroweak chiral lagrangian [6], it is likely that new physics will show up in the interaction of a longitudinal W, which is equivalent to a Goldstone boson characterizing the symmetry breaking mechanism, and a heavy fermion. Hence, it is important to measure these form factors to test for the possibility of having *nonuniversal* gauge couplings of t-b-W due to some dynamical symmetry breaking scenario [7]. It is thus important that the W-gluon fusion process be studied at the Tevatron because any difference in the t-b-W vertex will cause the production rates for top quarks to differ from the SM predictions. The strategies for observing a top quark from this process at the Tevatron were extensively discussed in Ref. 4. A preliminary study shows that it is possible to study top quarks produced via this process at the SSC and the LHC if a b-vertex detector is used [8]. We find that there are about 20,000 signal events and 700 background events for a 140 GeV top quark produced via the W-gluon fusion process at the SSC, where the branching ratios for $W^\pm \to e^\pm$ or $\mu^\pm$ are included. This result is obtained by assuming that it is possible to detect a jet within rapidity 4.0 and with a minimum transverse momentum of 40 GeV. This result of large signal-to-background ratio is mainly due to the characteristic features of the transverse momentum and rapidity distributions of the spectator quark which emitted the virtual W in the W-gluon fusion process. However, if the rapidity coverage of the SSC/LHC detector is smaller, then the efficiency of keeping signal events is lower and the background event rates become larger.

Top quarks will have longitudinal polarization if weak effects are present in their production. The polarization of the top quark produced from the usual QCD process after including the electroweak radiative corrections was discussed in Refs. 9

and 10. In the SM, the heavy top quark produced via the W–gluon fusion process is left–handed polarized, but it is unpolarized from the usual QCD production at the Born level. If new interactions occur, they may manifest themselves in an enhancement of the polarization effects in the production of the top quark via the W–gluon fusion process [1]. Furthermore, if CP is violated, the production rate of t from $\bar{p}p(W^+g) \rightarrow t\bar{b}X$ would be different from that of $\bar{t}$ from $\bar{p}p(W^-g) \rightarrow \bar{t}bX$. Therefore, one can detect large CP violation effects by observing the difference in the production rates of t and $\bar{t}$. Large CP violating effects are required to have the cosmological baryon asymmetry produced at the weak phase transition [11]. With the large production rate expected for top quarks at the SSC and the LHC, it will be possible to make a detailed study of the interactions of the top quark including polarization effects.

In the W–gluon fusion process the top quark is almost one hundred percent longitudinally polarized. This allows us to probe CP violation in the decay process $t \rightarrow W^+b \rightarrow l^+\nu_l b$. The most obvious observable for this purpose is the expectation value of the time–reversal quantity $\vec{\sigma_{\mathbf{t}}} \cdot (\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_b \times \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_l)$ where $\vec{\sigma_{\mathbf{t}}}$ is the polarization vector of t, and $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_b$ $(\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_l)$ is the unit vector of the b (l^+) momentum in the rest frame of the top quark [12]. This was suggested in Ref. 1 and further studied in Ref. 13.

Furthermore, it was shown in Ref. 14 that it is possible to study CP violation effects via the usual QCD processes by observing the asymmetry in the energies of the two leptons from the decay of the $t\bar{t}$ pairs. A detailed study on how to measure the CP violation effects at the SSC and LHC colliders were given by C. Im and G. Kane in Ref. 15.

In Ref. 16, we showed that the intrinsic width of the top quark can not be measured at the SSC and the LHC through the usual QCD processes. For instance, the intrinsic width of a 140 GeV Standard Model top quark is about 0.6 GeV, and the full width at half maximum of the reconstructed top quark invariant mass is about 11 GeV after including the detector resolution effects by smearing the final state parton momenta. A similar conclusion was also given from a hadron level analysis presented in the SDC Technical Design Report which concluded that reconstructing the top quark invariant mass gave a width of 9 GeV for a 150 GeV top quark [17]. Can the top quark width $\Gamma(t \to bW^+)$ be measured better than the factor $11/0.6 \sim 20$ mentioned above? The answer is yes. As pointed out in Ref. 16, the width $\Gamma(t \to bW^+)$ can be measured by counting the production rate of top quarks from the W–b fusion process which is *equivalent* to the W–gluon fusion process by a proper treatment of the bottom quark and the W boson as partons inside the hadron. The W–boson which interacts with the b–quark to produce the top quark can be treated as an on–shell boson in the leading log approximation. The moral is that even under the approximations considered, a factor of 2 uncertainty in the production rate for this process gives a factor of 2 uncertainty in the measurement of $\Gamma(t \to bW^+)$. This is still much better than what can be done at the SSC and the LHC through the usual QCD processes. Therefore, this is an extremely important measurement to be made at the Tevatron because it directly tests the SM coupling of t-b-W .

After the top quark is found, one can measure the branching ratio of $t \to bW^+(\to l^+\nu)$ by the ratio of $(2l + jets)$ and $(1l + jets)$ event rates from $t\bar{t}$ production. Then, a model independent measurement of the decay width $\Gamma(t \to bW^+)$ can be made by counting the production rate of t in the W–gluon fusion process. Should the top quark be found to be non-standard and its properties can not be described by the SM, we then have to look for some non-standard decay modes of the top quark [18]. Nevertheless, it is still important to measure at least one partial width $\Gamma(t \to bW^+)$ precisely to discriminate different new physics predictions.

The mass of the top quark can be measured within about 1.6% based on the studies performed in Refs. 17, 19 and 20. The first method is to study the $e\mu$ mode of the $t\bar{t}$ pair. After measuring the branching ratio of $t \to bW^+(\to l^+\nu)$, one can deduce the mass of the top quark from the calculated event rate predicted by the SM. The second method is to measure the invariant mass of the top quark via $t \to bW^+(\to q_1\bar{q}_2)$. The third method, which gives the best measurement of m_t, is to measure the invariant mass $M_{e\mu}$ of e^+ and μ^- from the decay of

$t \to b(\to \mu^-)W^+(\to e^+\nu)$. In Refs. 10 and 16 we showed that $M_{e\mu}$ is neither sensitive to the QCD correction nor to the polarization of the top quark.

In the SM, the top quark gains mass through the Yukawa coupling to the Higgs boson H. To test the dynamics of the generation of the fermion mass, we should also measure the coupling of the $Ht\bar{t}$. The direct measurement of this coupling can be done by studying the production of top quarks in $gg, q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t}H$ and $qg, \bar{q}g \to t\bar{b}H$ [21,22]. Since the signal rate is not large at the SSC/LHC, it might be difficult to get a precise measurement from these processes. If the luminosity of the collider is high enough to produce a large number of $qg, \bar{q}g \to t\bar{b}H$ events, one can test the relative sign of the $Ht\bar{t}$ and HW^+W^- couplings which depend on the underlying dynamics of symmetry breaking. Another place to look for the coupling of $Ht\bar{t}$ is to study the production of the Higgs boson from the gluon fusion process through a top quark triangle loop. The QCD next-to-leading-order calculation of this cross section was performed a couple of years ago [23]. To get a precise measurement on the $Ht\bar{t}$ coupling through the radiative corrections to the Higgs boson production, we have to know the kinematics of the Higgs boson after including the multiple gluon emission effects from the initial state radiation. This calculation of the QCD-gluon resummation was also performed in Ref. 24.

Up to now, we only discuss the top quark as a signal. But, it is also one of the most important backgrounds in probing new physics at high energy colliders. For example, in Refs. 25 and 26 we showed how to suppress the backgrounds associated with the top quark to study the strongly interacting longitudinal W-boson system in the TeV region to probe the mechanism of the electroweak symmetry breaking. Top quarks as backgrounds for some other processes were discussed in Ref. 27.

In conclusion, we have to study the top quark in detail to test the SM and to probe new physics. This can be largely done at the Tevatron and SSC/LHC colliders.

Acknowledgements:

I would like to thank P. Agrawal, D. Carlson, G. Kane, C. Kao, R. Kauffman,

G. Ladinsky, S. Mrenna, and J. Qiu for collaboration. This work was supported in part by the Michigan State University and Texas National Research Laboratory Commission grant RGFY9240.

REFERENCES

1. G.L. Kane, G.A. Ladinsky and C.-P. Yuan , *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) 124.
2. I.I.Y. Bigi, Yu L. Dokshitzer, V.A. Khoze, J.H. Kuhn, and P. Zerwas , *Phys. Lett.* **B181** (1986) 157; L.H. Orr and J.L. Rosner, *Phys. Lett.* **B246** (1990) 221; **248B** (1990) 474(E).
3. P. Nason, S. Dawson and R.K. Ellis, *Nucl. Phys.* **B303**, 607 (1988); **B327**, 49 (1989); W. Beenakker, H. Kuijf, W.L. van Neerven and J. Smith, *Phys. Rev.* **D40**, 54 (1989); R. Meng, G.A. Schuler, J. Smith and W.L. van Neerven, *Nucl. Phys.* **B339**, 325 (1990).
4. C.–P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev.* **D41**, 42 (1990); D. Carlson and C.–P. Yuan, *Phys. Lett.* **B306** (1993) 386.
5. S. Dawson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B249**, 42 (1985); S. Dawson and S. S. D. Willenbrock, *Nucl. Phys.* **B284**, 449 (1987); S. S. D. Willenbrock and D. A. Dicus, *Phys. Rev.* **D34**, 155 (1986); F. Anselmo, B. van Eijk and G. Bordes, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, 2312 (1992); T. Moers, R. Priem, D. Rein and H. Reithler in Proceedings of Large Hadron Collider Workshop, preprint CERN 90-10, 1990; R.K. Ellis and S. Parke, *Phys. Rev.* **D46**, 3785 (1992).
6. S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* **166**, 1568 (1968); S. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 2239 (1969); C. Callan, S. Coleman, J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 2247 (1969); M. Chanowitz, H. Georgi and M. Golden, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 2344 (1986).
7. R.D. Peccei and X. Zhang, *Nucl. Phys.* **B337**, 269 (1990).
8. D. Carlson and C.–P. Yuan, in preparation.

9. F. Berends et al., in the report of the Top Physics Working Group from the *Proceedings of the Large Hadron Collider Workshop*, 4-9 October 1990, Aachen, ed. G. Jarlskog and D. Rein, CERN publication CERN 90-10; A. Stange and S. Willenbrock, Fermilab preprint FERMILAB-PUB-93-027-T, Feb. 1993.
10. C. Kao, G. Ladinsky, and C.–P. Yuan, preprint MSUHEP 93/04, 1993
11. N. Turok and J. Zadrozny , *Phys. Rev.* **65** (1990) 2331; M. Dine, P. Huet, R. Singleton and L. Susskind , *Phys. Lett.* **B257** (1991) 351; L. McLerran, M. Shaposhnikov, N. Turok and M. Voloshin, *Phys. Lett.* **B256** (1991) 451; A. Cohen, D. Kaplan and A. Nelson, *Phys. Lett.* **B263** (1991) 86.
12. J.D. Jackson, S.B. Treiman and H.W. Wyld, Jr., *Phys. Rev.* **106** (1957) 517; R.B. Curtis and R.R. Lewis, *Phys. Rev.* **107** (1957) 543.
13. B. Grzadkowski and J.F. Gunion, preprint UCD-92-7, 1992.
14. C.R. Schmidt and M.E. Peskin *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 410.
15. C. Im, G. Kane and P. Malde, preprint UM-TH-92-27, 1992.
16. S. Mrenna and C.–P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 1007.
17. SDC technical Design Report, preprint SDC-92-201, 1992.
18. For a review, see, G. Kane, preprint UM-TH-91-32, 1991.
19. GEM Letter of Intent, preprint GEM TN-92-49, 1991.
20. Top Physics Working Group from the *Proceedings of the Large Hadron Collider Workshop*, 4-9 October 1990, Aachen, ed. G. Jarlskog and D. Rein, CERN publication CERN 90-10.
21. W. Marciano and F. Paige,*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66** (1991) 2433; J. Gunion,*Phys. Lett.* **B261** (1991) 510; R. Kleiss, Z. Kunszt and W. Stirling, *Phys. Lett.* **B253** (1991) 269.
22. J. Diaz-Cruz and O. Sampayo, *Phys. Lett.* **B276** (1992) 211; W. Stirling and D. Summers, *Phys. Lett.* **B283** (1992) 411

23. S. Dawson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B359** (1991) 283; A. Djouadi, M. Spira and P. M. Zerwas, *Phys. Lett.* **B264** (1991) 440.

24. P. Agrawal, J. Qiu and C.–P. Yuan, in preparation; C.–P. Yuan, *Phys. Lett.* **B283** (1992) 395; R. P. Kauffman, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) 2273.

25. G. Ladinsky and C.–P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev.* **D43** (1991) 789; R. Kauffman and C.–P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev.* **D42** 42 (1990) 956.

26. J. Bagger, V. Barger, K. Cheung, J. Gunion, T. Han, G. Ladinsky, R. Rosenfeld and C.–P. Yuan, preprint MSUHEP-93/05, 1993; and the references therein.

27. V. Barger, A.L. Stange and R. Phillips, *Phys. Rev.* **D45** (1992) 1484.